

COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING, WT Docket No. 16-239, RM-11708,
Released July 28, 2016,

I have read with dismay the Commission's notice of proposed rule making. In particular I feel that the Commission has continued to ignore the very real potential of assigning non symmetrical modulation schemes (in terms of band width, interference and operation mode; ie attended vs unattended) to the same frequency allocations. In particular I note that the Commission does acknowledge this as an issue in the referenced filing where it is noted:

(II. BACKGROUND) the second sentence states: *"The purpose of separating emission types into groups is to relegate the transmission of certain inharmonious emission types to different segments of amateur service frequency bands, while still allowing great flexibility in the types of emissions that may be transmitted by amateur stations."*

This certainly reinforces my original comments against RM-117-8, but does not appear to have been a consideration in the current proposed rule making. As I don't feel that my original comments and strong opposition to RM-11708 were considered in the drafting of the proposed rule making, I feel less than hopeful in reiterating them in the face of what appears to be a one sided look at this proposal. However, I have included them with this set of comments.

Att'd

Comments Regarding RM-11708 Petition
Mark Sihlanick N2QT December 20, 2013

